

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CW

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/630,000	08/01/2000	Bruce Tockman	279.246US1	8111
21186	7590 02/18/2003			
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 29 MINNEAPO	938 9LIS, MN 55402		KHAN, OMAR A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
			DATE MAILED: 02/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/630,000	TOCKMAN ET AL.			
		Examin r	Art Unit			
		Omar A Khan	3762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cov r sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A CHORTENED STATUTORY REPLODED FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) EPOM						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	December 1 to the communication (a) filed on 40	August 2002				
, <u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19					
/ <u>_</u>	•	his action is non-final.	arana aution ao ta tha marita is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 16-26 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
•	Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.				
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Tra	demark Office					

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 8/19/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Van Venrooij discloses individually insulated conductors developing around a central axis (coradial) in Figs 2A and 2B.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 16-19, 23-26, 43 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The subject matter not described in the specification is the term "coradial" in claims 16-19, 23-26, 43 and 44. It is unclear from the figures alone what is meant by coradial and where the central axis and radii of the coradial elements are located.
- 3. Claims 16-19, 23-26, 43 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The subject matter not described in the specification is the term

Art Unit: 3762

"coradial" in claims 16-19, 23-26, 43 and 44. It is unclear from the figures alone what is meant by coradial and where the central axis and radii of the coradial elements are located.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 16-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 16-19, 23-26, 43 and 44 recite the limitation "coradial". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this claim terminology in the claim. Further, the term is vague and indefinite as the claims do not indicate a unique central axis about which the conductors are coradial.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- Claims 16-20 and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated 1. by Van Venrooij (U.S. Patent No. 5,849,032).

Application/Control Number: 09/630,000 Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Venrooij (U.S. Patent No. 5,849,032). Van Venrooij discloses all of the claimed limitations but does not disclose expressly the first material comprising MP35N and the second material comprising Pt/Ta. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use MP35N and Pt/Ta as the materials for the first and second conductors respectively, because applicant has not disclosed that using MP35N and Pt/Ta for the first and second materials provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected applicant's invention to perform equally well with Van Venrooij, which teaches the use of differing materials (nitinol and MP35N) with obviously different stiffness providing for selectively variable lead stiffness depending on the relative locations of the conductors with different stiffness. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Van Venrooj to obtain the invention as specified in claims.

In the alternative, Claims 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Venrooij. Van Venrooij discloses all of the claimed limitations but does not explicitly speak to the first material comprising MP35N and the second material comprising Pt/Ta. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Application/Control Number: 09/630,000

Art Unit: 3762

made to modify the coradial lead with variable stiffness due to varying stiffness of lead conductors of Van Venrooij to provide for the first material comprising MP35N and the second material comprising Pt/Ta since it was well known in the art to use materials of varying stiffness and conductivity to provide the desired lead stiffness and input/ouput power and sensitivity requirements so that one of ordinary skill in the art would provide for the first material comprising MP35N and the second material comprising Pt/Ta instead of nitinol to provide for a more conductive material but still impart selective lead stiffness.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar A Khan whose telephone number is (703) 308-0959. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-6PM.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3590 for regular communications and (703) 305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0873.

Omar A Khan February 5, 2003 GEORGE R. EVANISKO PRIMARY EXAMINER 2/6/3